	Case 2:23-cv-02677-DC-CKD Documen	nt 24 Filed 07/17/25	Page 1 of 2				
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	JACQUELINE COLEMAN,	No. 2:23-cv-02677-D	OC-CKD (PS)				
12	Plaintiff,						
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING THIS ACTION					
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,						
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 23)					
16							
17	Plaintiff Jacqueline Coleman is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil						
18	rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States						
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.						
20	On May 20, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations						
21	recommending that this action be dismissed due to Plaintiff's failure to prosecute. (Doc. No. 23.)						
22	Specifically, Plaintiff has failed to comply with the court's order dated April 2, 2025, in which						
23	Plaintiff's second amended complaint was dismissed for failure to state a cognizable claim and						
24	Plaintiff was directed to file a third amended complaint within thirty days. (Doc. No. 22.) Plaintiff						
25	has not filed a third amended complaint, sought an extension of time to file a third amended						
26	complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's April 2, 2025 order. (Id. at 1.) The pending						
27	findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections						
28	thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Doc. No. 23 at 2.) To date, no						
		1					

	Case 2.23-01	7-02077-DC-CKD	Document 24	Filed 07/17/25	Page 2 01 2		
1	objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so						
2	has now passed.						
3	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a						
4	de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the						
5	findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.						
6	Accordingly:						
7	1.	The findings and	recommendations i	ssued on May 20, 2	2025 (Doc. No. 23) are		
8		ADOPTED in ful	1;				
9	2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice due to Plaintiff's failure to						
10	prosecute; and						
11	3.	The Clerk of the C	Court is directed to	close this case.			
12							
13	ATE 10 00 OD DUDUD						
14	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 16, 2025			T0000			
15				Dena Coggins Dena Coggins			
16					s District Judge		
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							